

p -ADIC COCYCLES AND THEIR REGULATOR MAPS

ZACKY CHOO AND VICTOR SNAITH

ABSTRACT. We derive a power series formula for the p -adic regulator on the higher dimensional algebraic K-groups of number fields. This formula is designed to be well suited to computer calculations and to reduction modulo powers of p . In addition we describe a series of regulator questions concerning higher dimensional K-theoretic analogues of conjectures of Gross and Serre from ([46] Chapter Six).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a p -adic local field. Then a p -adic regulator is a homomorphism of the form, for $s \geq 2$,

$$R_F : K_{2s-1}(\mathcal{O}_F) \cong K_{2s-1}(F) \longrightarrow F.$$

There are lots of such p -adic regulators in the literature. They have a number of uses in arithmetic and geometry. For example, in [38] and [39] one of us used the p -adic regulators together with the higher dimensional algebraic K-theory local fundamental classes to construct analogues of the classical Chinburg invariant of the Galois module structure of K-groups. In ([38] §5) a description is given of five p -adic regulators. These are (a) the cyclic homology regulator (using the results of ([47] pp. 244-245; [27]; [28] Theorem 6.2; [29]) (b) the dilogarithmic regulator [10] (c) the étale regulator ([11]; [43]; [44]) (d) the syntomic regulator ([13]; [14]; [15]; [26]) (e) the topological cyclic homology regulator ([3]; [20]). Recently some of these constructions have been re-examined. In [22] Huber and Kings use an idea originally due to Wagoner [47] which substitutes the Lazard isomorphism [27] between continuous group cohomology and Lie algebra cohomology for the van Est isomorphism in the p -adic analogue of the construction of the Borel regulator [5]. Using the Bloch-Kato exponential [22] shows that their p -adic regulator coincides with the étale regulator of [44]. A p -adic regulator due to Karoubi was overlooked in ([38] §5) which, like (a) and (e), also uses cyclic homology and relative K-theory ([24]; [25]). In [19] the construction of an explicit p -adic regulator is sketched which coincides with that of [24].

In [9] we used R.H. Fox's free differential calculus to design an algorithm (implemented in C) to construct explicit homology cycles for the general linear group whose Borel regulators were calculated by power series algorithm (implemented in MAPLE) designed from the explicit formula given in [18].

In the course of working on [9] and [8] we noticed that our power series also converged p -adically, giving rise to an elementary, complete account of the regulator of [19] which culminates in R_F of Corollary 4.3. Independently this construction was introduced in [45] and used to show that the regulators of [19] and [22] coincide up to a non-zero rational factor.

Our motivation for developing the details of the p -adic regulator was similar to our motivation for [9], namely that the power series makes possible an algorithm for evaluating the p -adic valuation of the regulators on homology classes in the general linear group of number rings such as those given by the algorithm of [9]. In all other respects it should be clear to the reader that our approach has nothing to add to the more sophisticated methods of [22], [24] and [45].

2. FUNCTIONS ON p -ADIC POWER SERIES

Definition 2.1. Let F be a p -adic local field and let $\mathcal{O}_F$ denote its valuation ring. Let N be a positive integer and let $M_N\mathcal{O}_F$ denote the ring of $N \times N$ matrices with entries in $\mathcal{O}_F$ topologised with the p -adic topology. Fix a positive odd integer $2s - 1$ with $s \geq 2$. Let $E(dx_0, \dots, dx_{2s-1})$ denote the $\mathcal{O}_F$ -exterior algebra on symbols $dx_0, \dots, dx_{2s-1}$ so that $dx_i \wedge dx_j = -dx_j \wedge dx_i$ when $i \neq j$ and $dx_i \wedge dx_i = 0$. Consider the $\mathcal{O}_F$ -algebra

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} = M_N\mathcal{O}_F[[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2s-1}]] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} E(dx_0, \dots, dx_{2s-1})$$

and set

$$\mathcal{A} = \hat{\mathcal{A}} / \simeq,$$

the quotient of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ by the ideal generated by $1 - \sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} x_i$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} dx_i$. Setting $|\underline{a}| = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{2s-1}$, let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ have the form

$$f = \sum_{\underline{a}=(a_0, \dots, a_{2s-1})} \sum_{u=0}^{2s-1} f(\underline{a}, u) p^{e|\underline{a}|} x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_{2s-1}^{a_{2s-1}} dx_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{dx}_u \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{2s-1}$$

with each a_j an integer greater than or equal to zero and $f(\underline{a}, u) \in M_N\mathcal{O}_F$.

Define $\Phi_{2s-1}(f)$ by the formula

$$\Phi_{2s-1}(f) = \sum_{\underline{a}=(a_0, \dots, a_{2s-1})} \sum_{u=0}^{2s-1} (-1)^u \text{Trace} f(\underline{a}, u) p^{e|\underline{a}|} \frac{a_0! \cdot a_1! \cdots a_{2s-1}!}{(|\underline{a}| + 2s - 1)!}.$$

Hence $\Phi_{2s-1}(f)$ term-by-term substitutes

$$(-1)^u f(\underline{a}, u) p^{e|\underline{a}|} \frac{a_0! \cdot a_1! \cdots a_{2s-1}!}{(|\underline{a}| + 2s - 1)!}$$

for

$$f(\underline{a}, u) p^{e|\underline{a}|} x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_{2s-1}^{a_{2s-1}} dx_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{dx}_u \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{2s-1}$$

and then takes the trace of the resulting matrix in $M_N F$.

By §6.1 and §6.2, $\Phi_{2s-1}(f)$ is well-defined for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ not merely for $f \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$, since it is the term-by-term integral

$$\Phi_{2s-1}(f) = \text{Trace} \int_{\Delta^{2s-1}} f.$$

Proposition 2.2.

The series $\Phi_{2s-1}(f)$ of Definition 2.1 converges p -adically in F for all $e \geq 1$ if p is odd and for all $e \geq 2$ if $p = 2$.

Proof:

If ν_p is the p -adic valuation on the rational numbers and $[x]$ denotes the integer part of x , then

$$\nu_p(l!) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{l}{p^i} \right] = \frac{l - \alpha(l)}{p - 1}.$$

Here, if $l = \sum_{j \geq 0} b_j p^j$ with each b_j an integer in the range $0 \leq b_j \leq p - 1$, we set $\alpha(l) = \sum_{j \geq 0} b_j$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu_p(p^{e|\underline{a}|} \frac{a_0! \cdot a_1! \cdots a_{2s-1}!}{(|\underline{a}| + 2s - 1)!}) \\ &= e|\underline{a}| + \nu_p(a_0! \cdot a_1! \cdots a_{2s-1}!) - \frac{|\underline{a}| + 2s - 1 - \alpha(|\underline{a}| + 2s - 1)}{p - 1} \\ &\geq (e - \frac{1}{p-1})|\underline{a}| - \frac{2s-1}{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to infinity as the monomial $x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_{2s-1}^{a_{2s-1}}$ tends to zero in the power series topology (that is, as $|\underline{a}|$ tends to infinity). $\square$

3. A p -ADIC COCYCLE

Definition 3.1. Let $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{2s-1}$ be matrices lying in $M_N \mathcal{O}_F$ with $s \geq 2$. Denote the $2s$ -tuple $(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{2s-1})$ by $\underline{X}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is the algebra introduced in Definition 2.1, let

$$\nu(\underline{X}) = 1 + p^e \sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} X_i x_i \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Hence $\nu(\underline{X})$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$ with

$$\nu(\underline{X})^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} (-1)^i p^{e \cdot i} B^i$$

where $B = \sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} X_i x_i$. The derivative $d\nu(\underline{X}) = dB = \sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} X_i dx_i$ also lies in $\mathcal{A}$ and so

$$\nu(\underline{X})^{-1} d\nu(\underline{X}) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Furthermore $(\nu(\underline{X})^{-1} d\nu(\underline{X}))^{2s-1}$ is homogeneous of weight $2s - 1$ in the differentials dx_i so that we have

$$\Phi_{2s-1}((\nu(\underline{X})^{-1} d\nu(\underline{X}))^{2s-1}) \in F.$$

Denote by $G_{N,e}F$ the closed subgroup of $GL_N\mathcal{O}_F$ consisting of matrices which are congruent to the identity modulo p^e . With the p -adic topology on $G_{N,e}F$ the map

$\tilde{\Phi}_{2s-1} : (1 + p^e X_0, 1 + p^e X_1, \dots, 1 + p^e X_{2s-1}) \mapsto \Phi_{2s-1}((\nu(\underline{X})^{-1} d\nu(\underline{X}))^{2s-1})$ lies in $\text{Map}_{cts}((G_{N,e}F)^{2s}, F)$, the p -adically continuous functions from the $2s$ -fold cartesian product of $G_{N,e}F$ to F .

Theorem 3.2.

(i) If $Y_1, Y_2 \in G_{N,e}F$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\Phi}_{2s-1}(Y_1(1 + p^e X_0)Y_2, \dots, Y_1(1 + p^e X_{2s-1})Y_2) \\ &= \tilde{\Phi}_{2s-1}(1 + p^e X_0, \dots, 1 + p^e X_{2s-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $Y \in GL_N\mathcal{O}_F$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\Phi}_{2s-1}(Y(1 + p^e X_0)Y^{-1}, \dots, Y(1 + p^e X_{2s-1})Y^{-1}) \\ &= \tilde{\Phi}_{2s-1}(1 + p^e X_0, \dots, 1 + p^e X_{2s-1}). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If F/E is a Galois extension and $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F/E)$ then

$$\sigma(\tilde{\Phi}_{2s-1}(1 + p^e X_0, \dots, 1 + p^e X_{2s-1})) = \tilde{\Phi}_{2s-1}(1 + p^e \sigma X_0, \dots, 1 + p^e \sigma X_{2s-1}).$$

(iii) The function $\tilde{\Phi}_{2s-1}$ is a $(2s - 1)$ -dimensional p -adically continuous cocycle on $G_{N,e}F$ with values in the trivial $G_{N,e}F$ -module F .

Proof

For part (i) we have, in Definition 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu(\underline{X})^{-1} d\nu(\underline{X}) \\ &= (\sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} (1 + p^e X_i)x_i)^{-1} d(\sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} (1 + p^e X_i)x_i) \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} Y_1(1 + p^e X_i)Y_2x_i)^{-1} d(\sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} Y_1(1 + p^e X_i)Y_2x_i) \\ &= Y_2^{-1}(\sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} (1 + p^e X_i)x_i)^{-1} d(\sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} (1 + p^e X_i)x_i)Y_2 \\ &= Y_2^{-1}\nu(\underline{X})^{-1} d\nu(\underline{X})Y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the first part of (i) follows from the integral formula of Definition 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{2s-1}((\nu(\underline{X})^{-1} d\nu(\underline{X}))^{2s-1}) \\ &= \text{Trace} \int_{\Delta^{2s-1}} (\nu(\underline{X})^{-1} d\nu(\underline{X}))^{2s-1} \\ &= \text{Trace} \int_{\Delta^{2s-1}} Y_2^{-1}(\nu(\underline{X})^{-1} d\nu(\underline{X}))^{2s-1} Y_2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the second part of (i) is similar.

Part (ii) is immediate from Definition 3.1.

For Part (iii) we must first prove that Φ_{2s-1} lies in $\text{Map}_{cts, G_{N,e}F}((G_{N,e}F)^{2s}, F)$ where $G_{N,e}F$ acts trivially on F and by diagonal left multiplication on $(G_{N,e}F)^{2s}$. This follows from the first part of (i).

We use the elementary form Stokes' Theorem from §6.3 (cf. [18]) to prove the cocycle condition. Given a $(2s+1)$ -tuple of matrices $(X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2s})$ in $M_N \mathcal{O}_F$ form $\nu = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{2s} p^e X_i x_i$ where the x_i are the barycentric coordinates in Δ^{2s} and corresponding to the i -th face for each $0 \leq i \leq 2s$ we set

$$\nu_i = 1 + p^e X_0 x_0 + p^e X_1 x_1 + p^e X_2 x_2 + \dots p^e \hat{X}_i x_i + \dots + p^e X_{2s} x_{2s},$$

deleting the i -th term from ν . Then the cocycle condition is the vanishing of the expression

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2s} (-1)^i \text{Trace} \int_{(x_i=0)} \cap \Delta^{2s} (\nu_i^{-1} d\nu_i)^{2s-1}.$$

Select a monomial $(2s-1)$ -form from within $(\nu^{-1} d\nu)^{2s-1}$, say

$$\omega = x_0^{a_0} x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_{2s-1}^{a_{2s-1}} x_{2s}^{a_{2s}} dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_u \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_v \wedge \dots dx_{2s}$$

with $0 \leq u < v \leq 2s$. By §6.3

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2s} (-1)^i \int_{(x_i=0)} \cap \Delta^{2s} \omega = \int_{\Delta^{2s}} d\omega$$

so that, since the sums of these identities converge p -adically, by Proposition 2.2,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2s} (-1)^i \text{Trace} \int_{(x_i=0)} \cap \Delta^{2s} (\nu_i^{-1} d\nu_i)^{2s-1} = \text{Trace} \int_{\Delta^{2s}} d(\nu^{-1} d\nu)^{2s-1}.$$

However $0 = d(\nu^{-1} \nu) = d(\nu^{-1})\nu + \nu^{-1} d\nu$ so that

$$d(\nu^{-1} d\nu)^{2s-1} = -(2s-1)(\nu^{-1} d\nu)^{2s}$$

which implies that

$$\text{Trace} \int_{\Delta^{2s}} d(\nu^{-1} d\nu)^{2s-1} = 0$$

because the integrand changes sign under the $2s$ -cycle permutation of the $\nu^{-1} d\nu$'s and a cyclic permutation of a product of matrices preserves the trace.

□

4. THE p -ADIC REGULATOR

Definition 4.1. As in §2.1, let F be a p -adic local field and let $\mathcal{O}_F$ denote its valuation ring. From the localisation sequence for algebraic K-theory [33] and the vanishing of even K-groups of finite fields [34] we have an isomorphism

$$K_{2s-1}(\mathcal{O}_F) \cong K_{2s-1}(F)$$

for all $s \geq 2$. Let Hur denote the Hurewicz homomorphism to the integral homology of the infinite general linear group, with the discrete topology,

$$\text{Hur} : K_{2s-1}(\mathcal{O}_F) \longrightarrow H_{2s-1}(GL\mathcal{O}_F; \mathbb{Z}).$$

When N is large the inclusion induces an isomorphism

$$H_{2s-1}(GL_N \mathcal{O}_F; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{2s-1}(GL \mathcal{O}_F; \mathbb{Z}).$$

To be precise this is true for $N \geq \max(4s-1, 2s-1+sr(\mathcal{O}_F))$ where $sr(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is Bass's stable rank of $\mathcal{O}_F$ [30].

Choosing N large we define

$$R_{N,F} : H_{2s-1}(GL_N \mathcal{O}_F; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow F$$

to be equal to the composition of the transfer map

$$H_{2s-1}(GL_N \mathcal{O}_F; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{Tr} H_{2s-1}(G_{N,e}F; \mathbb{Z})$$

with the homomorphism

$$\frac{1}{[GL_N \mathcal{O}_F : G_{N,e}F]} \cdot \langle [\tilde{\Phi}_{2s-1}], - \rangle : H_{2s-1}(G_{N,e}F; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow F$$

given by pairing a discrete homology class with the continuous cohomology class of Theorem 3.1(iii) and dividing by the index of $G_{N,e}F$ in $GL_N \mathcal{O}_F$. Explicitly, if d, ϵ are the residue degree and ramification index of $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$

$$[GL_N \mathcal{O}_F : G_{N,e}F] = |GL_N \mathbb{F}_{p^d}| p^{N^2 d(e\epsilon-1)}.$$

Proposition 4.2.

For large N the homomorphism $R_{N,F}$ is equal to the homomorphism

$$H_{2s-1}(GL_N \mathcal{O}_F; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{2s-1}(GL_{N+1} \mathcal{O}_F; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{R_{N+1,F}} F$$

where i_* is induced by the inclusion map.

Proof

Dualising the Double Coset Formula of ([36] p.19) we have the homology version for $J, H \subseteq G$, subgroups of finite index.

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{2s-1}(J; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{2s-1}(G; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{Tr} H_{2s-1}(H; \mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \sum_{z \in J \backslash G/H} H_{2s-1}(J; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{Tr} H_{2s-1}(J \cap zHz^{-1}; \mathbb{Z}) \\ & \quad (z^{-1}z)^* \xrightarrow{Tr} H_{2s-1}(z^{-1}Jz \cap H; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{2s-1}(H; \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

We wish to apply this to the case in which $J = GL_N \mathcal{O}_F$, $G = GL_{N+1} \mathcal{O}_F$ and $H = G_{N+1,e}F \triangleleft G$. In this case $zHz^{-1} = H$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{2s-1}(J; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{2s-1}(G; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{Tr} H_{2s-1}(H; \mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \sum_{z \in J \backslash G/H} H_{2s-1}(J; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{Tr} H_{2s-1}(J \cap H; \mathbb{Z}) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{2s-1}(H; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{(z^{-1}z)^*} H_{2s-1}(H; \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

From the second part of (i)

$$Res_{G_{N,e}F}^{G_{N+1,e}F}((z^{-1} - z)^*[\Phi_{2s-1}]) \in H_{cts}^{2s-1}(G_{N,e}F; \mathbb{Z})$$

is equal to $[\Phi_{2s-1}]$ for $G_{N,e}F$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & [GL_{N+1}\mathcal{O}_F : G_{N+1,e}F]R_{N+1,F} \cdot i_* \\ &= [GL_{N+1}\mathcal{O}_F : GL_N\mathcal{O}_F \cdot G_{N+1,e}F][GL_N\mathcal{O}_F; G_{N,e}F]R_{N,F} \\ &= [GL_{N+1}\mathcal{O}_F : GL_N\mathcal{O}_F \cdot G_{N+1,e}F][GL_N\mathcal{O}_F G_{N+1,e}F; G_{N+1,e}F]R_{N,F} \end{aligned}$$

so that $R_{N,F} = R_{N+1,F} \cdot i_*$. $\square$

Corollary 4.3.

For large N the homomorphism

$$H_{2s-1}(GL\mathcal{O}_F; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*^{-1}} H_{2s-1}(GL_N\mathcal{O}_F; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{R_{N,F}} F$$

is independent of N .

Definition 4.4. Define a homomorphism

$$\hat{R}_F : H_{2s-1}(GL\mathcal{O}_F; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow F$$

by the formula

$$\hat{R}_F = \frac{(-1)^s(s-1)!}{(2s-2)!(2s-1)!} R_{N,F} i_*^{-1},$$

in the notation of Corollary 4.3, where N is a large positive integer.

Theorem 4.5.

In the notation of Definitions 4.1 and 4.4 the composition

$$R_F : K_{2s-1}(F) \cong K_{2s-1}(\mathcal{O}_F) \xrightarrow{\text{Hur}} H_{2s-1}(GL\mathcal{O}_F; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\hat{R}_F} F$$

is equal to the p -adic regulator homomorphism defined in [19] (and hence also with that of [22]).

Proof

First we should point out that [19] gives an explicit formula for a p -adic regulator only in the case when $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$. However the sketched proof showing that this construction is well-defined and coincides with Karoubi's cyclic homology p -adic regulator applies equally well for general F . The regulator of [19] is defined by composing the Hurewicz homomorphism with the homomorphism, for large N ,

$$R : H_{2s-1}(GL_N F; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow F$$

which is induced by sending a $2s$ -tuple of matrices $(Y_0, \dots, Y_{2s-1})$ in the bar resolution for $GL_N F$ to the integral

$$\frac{(-1)^s(s-1)!}{(2s-2)!(2s-1)!} \text{Trace} \int_{\Delta^{2s-1}} (\nu^{-1} d\nu)^{2s-1}$$

where $\nu = \sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} x_i Y_i$ where the x_i 's are the barycentric coordinates. The verification that this integral converges p -adically for a general $2s$ -tuple is quite delicate and is carried out in the Appendix to [45].

On the other hand, the construction which we have given uses the same integral, but only in the situation where each Y_i lies in $G_{N,e}F$ in which case we saw in §2 and §3 that it is very easy to show p -adic convergence.

Let $j : G_{N,e}F \longrightarrow GL_N \mathcal{O}_F$ denote the inclusion. The above discussion shows that

$$[GL_N \mathcal{O}_F : G_{N,e}F] R_{N,F} = R \cdot j_* \cdot Tr : H_{2s-1}(GL_N \mathcal{O}_F; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow F$$

and the result follows since $j_* \cdot Tr = [GL_N \mathcal{O}_F : G_{N,e}F]$. $\square$

Remark 4.6. Using an explicit p -adically analytic cocycle it is shown in [45] that the Karoubi-Hamida p -adic regulator, which equals R_F by Theorem 4.5, coincides up to a non-zero rational factor with the Wagoner-Huber-Kings p -adic regulator of [47] and [22].

5. K-THEORETIC ANALOGIES OF ([46] CHAPTER SIX)

The construction of the homomorphism R_F of Theorem 4.5 makes sense when $s = 1$ providing that we restrict attention to $K_1(\mathcal{O}_F) \cong \mathcal{O}_F^*$ rather than $K_1(F) \cong F^*$. Taking $N = 1$ then, as in §4.1,

$$[GL_1 \mathcal{O}_F : G_{1,e}F] = [\mathcal{O}_F^* : 1 + p^e \mathcal{O}_F] = (p^d - 1)p^{d(e\epsilon - 1)}$$

where d, ϵ are the residue degree and ramification index of $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ respectively. When $s = 1$ in the constructions of Definitions 2.1 and 3.1 yield

$$\tilde{\Phi}_1(1 + p^e X_0, 1 + p^e X_1) = \log_p \left(\frac{1 + p^e X_1}{1 + p^e X_0} \right)$$

for $X_0, X_1 \in \mathcal{O}_F$ where $\log_p(1 + p^e z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \frac{(p^e z)^{i+1}}{i+1}$ is the usual p -adic logarithm series. Therefore when $s = 1$ one finds that

$$R_F : \mathcal{O}_F^* \longrightarrow F$$

is given by $R_F(x) = -\log_p(x)$ where, as usual, $\log_p$ is the unique homomorphic extension of the p -adic logarithm series to $\mathcal{O}_F^*$. Similarly, the Borel regulator on the units in a ring of algebraic integers of a number field is essential equal to the Archimedian logarithm [18]. Therefore, just as there are analogs of the Stark conjectures involving the Borel regulators on higher dimensional algebraic K-groups of number fields ([40], [41], [42]), so there are higher dimensional algebraic K-theoretic analogs of the p -adic versions of Stark's conjecture, due to B. Gross and J-P. Serre, described in ([46] Chapter Six).

Accordingly, in this section we shall examine possible analogs of the material in ([46] Chapter Six) involving higher K-groups. We shall begin with a simple reciprocity law which hardly features logarithms (i.e. regulators) at all!

5.1. p -adic absolute values

Let k be a number field, v a place of k and p a place of $\mathbb{Q}$ (if $p = \infty$ then $\mathbb{Q}_p = \mathbb{R}$). Let $x \in k^*$. If v is a finite place

$$|x|_v = (Nv)^{-v(x)} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

For v Archimedean we set

$$(Nv)^{v(x)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \text{ is complex,} \\ \text{sign}(\sigma(x)) & \text{if } v \text{ is real, induced by } \sigma : k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Recall from ([46] p.7) that Nv and the normalised absolute values are defined in terms of the v -adic completion k_v by: $|-|_v$ is the usual absolute value when $k_v \cong \mathbb{R}$, $|x|_v = x\bar{x}$ when $k_v \cong \mathbb{C}$ and when v is discrete with uniformiser π then $Nv = |\mathcal{O}_k/(\pi)|$ and $|\pi|_v = (Nv)^{-1}$.

Therefore we have

$$\text{Norm}_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \prod_v (Nv)^{v(x)}.$$

Definition 5.2. Define the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -valued absolute value of x to be the element $|x|_{v,p} \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*$ given by

$$|x|_{v,p} = \begin{cases} \text{Norm}_{k_v/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x) \cdot (Nv)^{-v(x)} & \text{if } v|p, \\ (Nv)^{-v(x)} & \text{if } v \nmid p \end{cases}$$

Hence $|x|_{v,p} = \pm 1$ when v is Archimedean.

(a) For $v = \infty$ we have $|x|_{v,\infty} = |x|_v \in \mathbb{R}$ and if p is finite $|x|_{v,p} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ for all $x \in k^*$.

(b) For all $x \in k^*$

$$\prod_v |x|_{v,p} = 1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$$

and

$$\sum_v \log_p(|x|_{v,p}) = 0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

For finite primes v we have

$$\prod_{v \text{ finite}} |x|_{v,p} = \pm 1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$$

and

$$\sum_{v \text{ finite}} \log_p(|x|_{v,p}) = 0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

(c) When p is a prime number $|-|_{v,p}$ coincides with the composition

$$k^* \xrightarrow{i} k_v^* \xrightarrow{\text{recip}} \text{Gal}(k_v^{ab}/k_v) \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathbb{Z}_p^*$$

where i is the inclusion, *recip* is the reciprocity map and χ is the inverse of the cyclotomic character giving the action of $\text{Gal}(k_v^{ab}/k_v)$ on the p -primary roots of unity.

5.3. An analogue of Remark 5(b)

Let k be a number field, let v a finite place of k and p be a rational prime. Let $x \in K_{2s-1}(k)$ for some $s \geq 2$. Recall that $K_{2s-1}(k)$ is a finitely generated abelian group isomorphic to $K_{2s-1}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ where $\mathcal{O}_k$ is the ring of algebraic integers of k . There is a canonical higher dimensional local fundamental class ([38], [39]) associated to a Galois extension of the form L/k_v . This is an element of $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(L/k_v)]}^2(\text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(L), K_{2s-1}(L))$ which is represented by a 2-extension

$$K_{2s-1}(L) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow \text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(L)$$

with A, B cohomologically trivial. Therefore we have a canonical reciprocity isomorphism between Tate cohomology groups

$$\hat{H}^0(\text{Gal}(L/k_v); K_{2s-1}(L)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \hat{H}^{-2}(\text{Gal}(L/k_v); \text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(L))$$

which may be identified ([37] Definition 1.1.2, p.3) with

$$\frac{K_{2s-1}(k_v)}{\text{Norm}K_{2s-1}(L)} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(\text{Gal}(L/k_v); \text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(L)).$$

Recall from ([21] Chapter VI, §4) that if G is a finite group and M is a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} IG \cong IG/(IG)^2 \cong G^{ab}$$

given by $1 \otimes_G (g - 1) \mapsto (g - 1) \pmod{IG^2} \mapsto g[G, G] \in G^{ab}$. More generally, we have a short exact sequence of left G -modules with the diagonal action

$$0 \longrightarrow IG \otimes M \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

where the right-hand map is $g \otimes m \mapsto$ and the resulting long exact homology sequence looks like

$$0 = H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes M) \longrightarrow H_1(G; M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_G IG \otimes M \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes M \cong M$$

where the right-hand map is $1 \otimes_G (g - 1) \otimes m \mapsto (g^{-1} - 1)m$. Hence

$$H_1(G; M) \cong \text{Ker}(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_G IG \otimes M \longrightarrow M).$$

Given a homomorphism $\chi : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ there is an induced homomorphism

$$\chi' : \mathbb{Z} \otimes_G IG \otimes M \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^* \otimes \frac{M}{IG \cdot M}$$

given by $1 \otimes (g - 1) \otimes m \mapsto \chi(g) \otimes (m \text{ modulo } IG \cdot M)$. This is well-defined because

$$\begin{aligned}
& \chi'(1 \otimes g'(g - 1) \otimes g'm) \\
&= \chi(g'g) \otimes (g'm \text{ modulo } IG \cdot M) - \chi(g') \otimes (g'm \text{ modulo } IG \cdot M) \\
&= \chi(g') \otimes (g'm \text{ modulo } IG \cdot M) + \chi(g) \otimes (g'm \text{ modulo } IG \cdot M) \\
&\quad - \chi(g') \otimes (g'm \text{ modulo } IG \cdot M) \\
&= \chi(g) \otimes (g'm \text{ modulo } IG \cdot M) \\
&= \chi(g) \otimes (m \text{ modulo } IG \cdot M).
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\text{Gal}(L/k_v)$ and $M = \text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(L)$ the inverse of the cyclotomic character induces a canonical homomorphism

$$H_1(\text{Gal}(L/k_v); \text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(L)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^* \otimes \frac{\text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(L)}{IG\text{Gal}(L/k_v) \cdot \text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(L)}.$$

In addition, in the course of the proof of naturality for the higher dimensional fundamental classes ([38], [39]) it is shown that there is a natural isomorphism of the form

$$\frac{\text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(L)}{IG\text{Gal}(L/k_v) \cdot \text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(L)} \cong \text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(k_v).$$

Composing these homomorphism when $s \geq 2$ yields a homomorphism

$$\chi(s)_{v,p} : K_{2s-1}(k) \longrightarrow K_{2s-1}(k_v) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^* \otimes \text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(k_v)$$

which, when $s = 1$, is equal to

$$|-|_{v,p} : K_1(k) = k^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*.$$

Since there is an isomorphism of k -algebras of the form

$$k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow \prod_{v|p} k_v$$

we obtain a homomorphism

$$\{\chi(s)_{v,p}\}_{v|p} : K_{2s-1}(k) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^* \otimes \text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

The Galois behaviour of this homomorphism and the reciprocity of §5(b) suggests the following question :

Question 5.4. For $s \geq 2$ does the image of $\{\chi(s)_{v,p}\}_{v|p}$ lie in the image of the homomorphism

$$K_{2s-2}(k) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^* \otimes \text{Tors}K_{2s-2}(k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

induced by the inclusion of k ? Perhaps this is true with $K_{2s-2}(k)$ replaced by $K_{2s-2}(\mathcal{O}_k)$, the K-group of the ring of integers of k ?

5.5. Higher dimensional Stark conjectures

For the reader's convenience let us recall the analogue for higher dimensional algebraic K-theory of the classical Stark conjecture of ([46] Chapter One, §5). This conjecture was posed by one of us in [40], [41] and [42], unaware that B.H. Gross [16] had already asked this question about the Stark conjecture decades earlier in the preprint, which eventually appeared as [17].

Let K/k be a Galois extension of number fields. Let $\Sigma(K)$ denote the set of embeddings of K into the complex numbers. For $r = -1, -2, -3, \dots$ set

$$Y_r(K) = \prod_{\Sigma(K)} (2\pi i)^{-r} \mathbb{Z} = \text{Map}(\Sigma(K), (2\pi i)^{-r} \mathbb{Z})$$

endowed with the $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ -action diagonally on $\Sigma(K)$ and on $(2\pi i)^{-r}$ and $Y_r(K)^+$ denotes the subgroup fixed by complex conjugation. Therefore

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y_r(K)^+) = \begin{cases} r_2 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \\ r_1 + r_2 & \text{if } r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

where $|\Sigma(K)| = r_1 + 2r_2$ and r_1 is the number of real embeddings of K . Denote by $\mathcal{O}_K$ the integers of K . For any negative integer r we have the Borel regulator ([5], [23])

$$R_K^r : K_{1-2r}(\mathcal{O}_K) \otimes \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_r(K)^+ \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

which is an $\mathbb{R}[\text{Gal}(K/k)]$ -isomorphism. Choose a $\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(K/k)]$ -isomorphism of the form

$$f_{r,K} : K_{1-2r}(\mathcal{O}_K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_r(K)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

so that

$$R_K^r \cdot (f_{r,K})^{-1} : Y_r(K)^+ \otimes \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_r(K)^+ \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

is an $\mathbb{R}[\text{Gal}(K/k)]$ -isomorphism. Then we form the Stark regulator defined, for each representation V of $\text{Gal}(K/k)$, by

$$R(V, f_{r,K}) = \det((R_K^r \cdot f_{r,K}^{-1})_* \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(K/k)}(V^{\vee}, Y_r(K)^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}))),$$

where $V^{\vee}$ is the contragredient representation of V .

Let S be a finite set of primes of k which includes all the Archimedean primes and all the finite primes which ramify in K/k . Let $L_{k,S}^*(r, V)$ denote the leading term of the Taylor expansion of the Artin L-function associated to S and V at $s = r$. We define a function $\mathcal{R}_{f_{r,K}}$ given on a finite-dimensional complex representation V by

$$\mathcal{R}_{f_{r,K}}(V) = \frac{R(V, f_{r,K})}{L_{k,S}^*(r, V)}.$$

Then the higher-dimensional analogue of the Stark conjecture of [46] asserts that, if $\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes the absolute Galois group of the rationals,

$$\mathcal{R}_{f_{r,K}} \in \text{Hom}_{\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathcal{R}(\text{Gal}(K/k)), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*) \subseteq \text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}(\text{Gal}(K/k)), \mathbb{C}^*)$$

and the truth of this conjecture is independent of the choice of $f_{r,K}$.

The calculations of Beilinson ([2]; see also [6] §4.2, [23] and [31]) show that the higher-dimensional analogue of the Stark conjecture is true when K/k is a subextension of any abelian extension of the rationals (see [42] Theorem 7.6 (proof)).

5.6. p -adic L -functions

Let $\bar{k}$ denote an algebraic closure of k . If p is a prime, let

$$\omega : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \longrightarrow \mu(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

denote the Teichmüller character ([46] p.130) associated with the Galois action on the p -power roots of unity and taking values in the p -adic roots of unity $\mu(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Let $\mathbb{C}_p$ denote the p -adic completion of an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ([46] p.129). Let V be a continuous, finite-dimensional representation of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ over $\mathbb{C}_p$. Suppose that $\{1, \tau\}$ is the decomposition group of a place of $\bar{k}$ whose restriction to k is real. Following ([46] p.130) we shall call such an element τ a “conjugation”. Then V is totally even if any such τ acts trivially on V and is totally odd if any such τ acts as minus the identity.

Now let V be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}_p$ -representation of $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ where K/k is a finite Galois extension. Therefore, for all integers n , $V \otimes \omega^n$ is also a $\mathbb{C}_p$ -representation of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ which factors through the Galois group of a finite extension of k .

Now let $\alpha : \mathbb{C}_p \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}$ denote an isomorphism of fields so that, if $\dim_{\mathbb{C}_p}(V) = t$, we may form the complex representation

$$\alpha(V \otimes \omega^n) : \text{Gal}(K/k) \longrightarrow GL_t \mathbb{C}$$

by choosing a matrix representation of V and applying α to the matrix entries.

Let S be a finite set of primes of k which includes all the Archimedean primes and all the finite primes which divide p . Then the p -adic L -function is the unique meromorphic function ([46] p.131)

$$L_{p,S}(-, V) : \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$$

which satisfies the interpolation formula

$$\alpha(L_{p,S}(n, V)) = L_{k,S}(n, \alpha(V \otimes \omega^{n-1}))$$

for all strictly negative integers n and all field isomorphisms $\alpha : \mathbb{C}_p \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}$. The functional equation for the Artin L -function ([46] p.20) together with Euler’s functional equation for the γ -function

$$\Gamma(s) = \frac{\Gamma(s + n + 1)}{z(z + 1) \cdots (z + n)}$$

shows that $L_{p,S}(-, V)$ is identically zero unless k is totally real and V is totally even. Constructions of the p -adic L -function are given in [7] and [12] (see also [1] and [32]).

5.7. p -adic Higher dimensional Stark conjectures

The Stark conjecture at $s = 0$ features the Dirichlet regulator constructed from the Archimedean logarithm and the p -adic analogue at $s = 0$, due to Gross ([46] p.132) replaces the logarithm by the p -adic logarithm. As remarked at the beginning of this section, the p -adic regulator in K_1 is minus the p -adic logarithm and the Borel regulator behaves similarly. Therefore it is natural to formulate similar conjectures on higher dimensional K-groups using their p -adic regulator maps, R_F of Theorem 4.5.

Let K/k be a finite Galois extension of number fields with k totally real and K totally imaginary.

Consider $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$ where $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is an extension of local fields. We have $K = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ for some algebraic β whose minimal polynomial is $m_{\beta}(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Suppose that $m_{\beta}(x)$ splits in F then we have

$$K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/(m_{\beta}(x)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F \cong F[x]/m_{\beta}(x) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\deg(m_{\beta}(x))} F$$

where the last map evaluates polynomials at each of the distinct roots of $m_{\beta}(x)$. Therefore the composition

$$K \longrightarrow K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F \xrightarrow{\cong} \prod_1^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]} F$$

is given by $z \mapsto z \otimes 1 \mapsto \{z_i\}$ where z_i is the image of z under the inclusion of K into F corresponding to the i -th root of $m_{\beta}(x)$.

Take the case where $F = \mathbb{C}_p$ then we have an involutive field automorphism

$$c_p = \alpha \cdot c \cdot \alpha^{-1} : \mathbb{C}_p \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}_p$$

where c is complex conjugation. This depends upon the choice of α . The analogue of the diagonal action of c on $Y_r(K) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is the involution on $\prod_1^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]} F$ which sends F in the coordinate corresponding to the i -th root w_i by c_p to the copy of F corresponding to the root $c_p(w_i)$.

Let $\sigma_i : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ denote the embedding corresponding to the i -th root w_i . Let $Y_{(p)}(K) = \prod_{\Sigma_p(K)} \mathbb{Z}$ where $\Sigma_p(K)$ is the set of embeddings of K into $\mathbb{C}_p$. Define a homomorphism

$$R_{p,K}^r : K_{1-2r}(K) \longrightarrow Y_{(p)}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_p$$

to have the σ_i -th coordinate given by the composition

$$K_{1-2r}(K) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_i)^*} K_{1-2r}(\mathbb{C}_p) \xrightarrow{R_{\mathbb{C}_p}} \mathbb{C}_p.$$

By naturality of the p -adic regulator this is equal to the composition

$$K_{1-2r}(K) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_i)^*} K_{1-2r}(\mathbb{Q}_p(w_i)) \xrightarrow{R_{\mathbb{Q}_p(w_i)}} \mathbb{Q}_p(w_i) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p.$$

Proposition 5.8.

In §5.7 $R_{p,K}^r$ is a $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ -homomorphism whose image lies in $(Y_{(p)}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_p)^+$, the $(+1)$ -eigenspace of the involution c_p .

Proof

Suppose that the root w_{2i} is the complex conjugate of w_{2i-1} then, by Galois equivariance of the p -adic regulator, an element in the image of $R_{p,K}^r$ will have the $(2i-1, 2i)$ -th pair of coordinates of the form $(z_{2i-1}, c_p(z_{2i-1}))$. However this pair is sent by the involution to $(c_p(z_{2i-1}), c_p(c_p(z_{2i-1}))) = (z_{2i-1}, c_p(z_{2i-1}))$, as required. $\square$

5.9. The original p -adic Gross conjecture

Let p be a fixed prime. Let S be a finite set of places of K containing all the Archimedean places and the places dividing p . Let $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^* \cong K_1(\mathcal{O}_{K,S})$ denote the S -units of K . Set $Y = \bigoplus_{v \in S} \mathbb{Z}$ denote the free abelian group on the elements of S and set

$$X = \left\{ \sum_{v \in S} n_v \cdot v \in Y \mid \sum_{v \in S} n_v = 0 \right\}.$$

Define

$$\lambda_p : K_1(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X$$

by $\lambda_p(x) = \sum_{v \in S} \log_p(|x|_{v,p}) \cdot v$ where $|x|_{v,p} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is as in Definition 5.2. We also denote by λ_p the linear extension

$$\lambda_p : \mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_1(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X.$$

Let $f; X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_1(\mathcal{O}_{K,S})$ be a $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ -homomorphism. For all $\alpha : \mathbb{C}_p \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}$ we set

$$\alpha(f) = (\alpha \otimes 1) \cdot f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_1(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}).$$

Define

$$A_{p,0}(f, V) = \frac{\det(1 \otimes (\lambda_p \cdot f), (V \otimes \mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X)^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)})}{L_{p,S}^*(0, V \otimes \omega)}$$

where $L_{p,S}^*(n, V \otimes \omega)$ is the leading term of the Taylor series for the p -adic L -function of k at $s = n$ and V is a totally odd representation of $\text{Gal}(K/k)$.

The p -adic Gross conjecture asserts that

$$\alpha(A_{p,0}(f, V)) = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha(f)}(\alpha(V))$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha(f)}(\alpha(V))$ is the analogue at $s = 0$ of the non-zero complex number defined in §5.5 using the leading term of the L -function at $s = -1, -2, -3, \dots$

5.10. The higher dimensional p -adic conjecture

As is usual in the conjecturing business, we slavishly follow the earlier conjecture making a systematic change. In this case the change is to replace $\log_p(|x|_{v,p})$ by $R_{p,K}^r$.

Following Gross, for $r = -1, -2, -3, \dots$, we now assume we are given a $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ -homomorphism

$$f : Y_{(p)}(K) \longrightarrow K_{1-2r}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_p$$

which we extend linearly to give a $\mathbb{C}_p[\text{Gal}(K/k)]$ -homomorphism

$$f : Y_{(p)}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_p \longrightarrow K_{1-2r}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_p$$

and then form the composition

$$R_{p,K}^r \cdot f^+ : (Y_{(p)}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_p)^+ \longrightarrow K_{1-2r}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_p \longrightarrow (Y_{(p)}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_p)^+.$$

Then the higher dimensional analogue of §5.9 would assert that

$$A_{p,r}(f, V) = \frac{\det(1 \otimes (R_{p,K}^r \cdot f^+), (V \otimes (\mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y_{(p)}(K))^+)^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)})}{L_{p,S}^*(r, V \otimes \omega^{1-r})}$$

satisfies

$$\alpha(A_{p,r}(f, V)) = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha(f)}(\alpha(V))$$

when $V \otimes \omega^{1-r}$ is totally even and $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha(f)}(\alpha(V))$ is as in §5.5 at $s = r$.

Proposition 5.11.

Suppose that K/k is a subextension of an abelian extension over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then if the higher dimensional p -adic conjecture of §5.10 is true for one α then it is true for all α 's.

Proof

The higher dimensional Stark conjecture of §5.5 is true for cyclotomic extensions and their subextensions ([42] §3.1; see also [40] and [41]). Therefore the result follows by the argument of ([46], Chapter Six Theorem 5.2). $\square$

Remark 5.12. The truth of the conjecture of §5.10 implies that the p -adic regulator

$$R_{p,K}^r : K_{1-2r}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_p \longrightarrow (Y_{(p)}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_p)^+$$

is an isomorphism because the determinant of $R_{p,K}^r \cdot f^+$ is non-zero and the $\mathbb{C}_p$ -dimensions of domain and range are both equal to r_2 .

In a subsequent paper we shall verify that $R_{p,K}^r$ is indeed an isomorphism.

6. APPENDIX: ELEMENTARY INTEGRATION

6.1. Scalar integration

Let $\Delta^n = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid 0 \leq x_i \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^n x_i = 1\}$ denote the usual n -simplex. Consider the iterated integral

$$\int_{x_n=0}^{\rho(n)} \int_{x_{n-1}=0}^{\rho(n-1)} \cdots \int_{x_0=0}^{\rho(0)} f dx_0 \dots \hat{dx}_i \dots dx_n$$

where each $a_j \geq 0$, the integral corresponding to x_i is omitted,

$$f = x_0^{a_0} x_1^{a_1} \cdots (1 - \dots x_{i-1} - \hat{x}_i - x_{i+1} \dots)^{a_i} \cdots x_n^{a_n},$$

$\rho(n) = 1$, $\rho(n-1) = 1 - x_n$ and in general,

$$\rho(j) = 1 - x_{j+1} - x_{j+2} - \dots - \hat{x}_i - \dots - x_n.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x_0=0}^{\rho(0)} x_0^{a_0} (\rho(0) - x_0)^{a_i} dx_0 \\
&= \begin{cases} \rho(0) & \text{if } a_0 = 0 = a_i, \\ \frac{\rho(0)^{a_0+1}}{(a_0+1)} & \text{if } a_0 > 0, a_i = 0, \\ \frac{\rho(0)^{a_i+1}}{(a_i+1)} & \text{if } a_i > 0, a_0 = 0, \\ \int_{x_0=0}^{\rho(0)} a_0 x_0^{a_0-1} \frac{(\rho(0)-x_0)^{a_i+1}}{(a_i+1)} dx_0 & \text{if } a_0, a_i > 0 \end{cases} \\
&= \frac{a_0! a_i! \rho(0)^{a_0+a_i}}{(a_0+a_i+1)!} \\
&= \frac{a_0! a_i! (\rho(1)-x_1)^{a_0+a_i}}{(a_0+a_i+1)!},
\end{aligned}$$

integrating by parts. By induction we find that, for $a_i \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x_n=0}^{\rho(n)} \int_{x_{n-1}=0}^{\rho(n-1)} \cdots \int_{x_0=0}^{\rho(0)} x_0^{a_0} x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} dx_0 \cdots \hat{dx}_i \cdots dx_n \\
&= \frac{a_0! a_1! \cdots a_{n-1}! a_n!}{(a_0+a_1+\cdots+a_n+n)!}.
\end{aligned}$$

6.2. Integration of differential forms

The n -simplex

$$\Delta^n = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mid 0 \leq x_i, \sum_i x_i = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

is an orientable, n -dimensional manifold with boundary. For the purposes of integration of a differentiable n -form

$$f(x_0, \dots, x_n) dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

on Δ^n we use

$$\begin{aligned}
& dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\
&= (-\sum_{j=1}^n dx_j) \wedge dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\
&= -dx_v \wedge dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\
&= (-1)^v dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n
\end{aligned}$$

to rewrite

$$\int_{\Delta^n} f dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n = (-1)^v \int_{\Delta^n} f dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n.$$

The embedding ϕ_n of $\mathbb{R}^n$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ given by

$$\phi_n(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (1 - \sum_i y_i, y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

maps

$$\underline{\Delta}^n = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \mid 0 \leq y_i, \sum_i y_i \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

diffeomorphically onto Δ^n . We define (see [4] p.31)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Delta^n} f dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\ &= (-1)^v \int_{y_n=0}^{\rho(n)} \int_{y_{n-1}=0}^{\rho(n-1)} \dots \int_{y_1=0}^{\rho(1)} f(\phi_n^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)) dy_1 \dots dy_n \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho(n) = 1$, $\rho(n-1) = 1 - y_n$ and in general,

$$\rho(j) = 1 - y_{j+1} - y_{j+2} - \dots - y_n.$$

In other words the integral on Δ^n is transformed to an integral on $\underline{\Delta}^n$ with respect to the standard volume form $dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In particular we find, if each integer a_j is greater than or equal to zero, by §6.1

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Delta^n} x_0^{a_0} x_1^{a_1} \dots x_i^{a_i} \dots x_n^{a_n} dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\ &= (-1)^v \frac{a_0! \cdot a_1! \cdot \dots \cdot a_{n-1}! \cdot a_n!}{(a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n + n)!}. \end{aligned}$$

6.3. Stokes' Theorem for monomial differential forms

Suppose that $n = 2s$ and that we have a monomial $(2s-1)$ -form on Δ^{2s}

$$\omega = x_0^{a_0} x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_{2s-1}^{a_{2s-1}} x_{2s}^{a_{2s}} dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_u \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{2s}$$

with $0 \leq u < v \leq 2s$ and each a_j an integer greater than or equal to zero. Hence the differential $d\omega$ is given by the expression

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sum_{j=0}^{2s} a_j x_0^{a_0} \dots x_j^{a_j-1} \dots x_{2s-1}^{a_{2s-1}} x_{2s}^{a_{2s}} dx_j) \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_u \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{2s} \\ &= a_u x_0^{a_0} \dots x_u^{a_u-1} \dots x_{2s-1}^{a_{2s-1}} x_{2s}^{a_{2s}} dx_u \wedge dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_u \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{2s} \\ & \quad + a_v x_0^{a_0} \dots x_v^{a_v-1} \dots x_{2s-1}^{a_{2s-1}} x_{2s}^{a_{2s}} dx_v \wedge dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_u \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{2s} \\ &= (-1)^u a_u x_0^{a_0} \dots x_u^{a_u-1} \dots x_{2s-1}^{a_{2s-1}} x_{2s}^{a_{2s}} dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_u \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{2s} \\ & \quad + (-1)^{v+1} a_v x_0^{a_0} \dots x_v^{a_v-1} \dots x_{2s-1}^{a_{2s-1}} x_{2s}^{a_{2s}} dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}_u \wedge \dots \wedge dx_v \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{2s} \end{aligned}$$

so that, by §6.2,

$$\int_{\Delta^{2s}} d\omega = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a_u = 0, a_v = 0, \\ (-1)^{u+v+1} \frac{a_0! \cdot a_1! \cdot \dots \cdot a_{2s-1}! \cdot a_{2s}!}{(a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{2s} + 2s-1)!} & \text{if } a_u = 0, a_v > 0 \\ (-1)^{u+v} \frac{a_0! \cdot a_1! \cdot \dots \cdot a_{2s-1}! \cdot a_{2s}!}{(a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{2s} + 2s-1)!} & \text{if } a_v = 0, a_u > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } a_v > 0, a_u > 0. \end{cases}$$

Now consider the restriction of ω to the $(2s-1)$ -simplex $(x_i = 0) \cap \Delta^{2s}$. The integral

$$\int_{(x_i=0) \cap \Delta^{2s}} \omega$$

is zero unless $a_i = 0$ and $i \in \{u, v\}$. The ordered coordinates for $(x_i = 0) \cap \Delta^{2s}$ are $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{2s})$ so that, by §6.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(x_i=0) \cap \Delta^{2s}} \omega \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{v+1} \frac{a_0! \cdot a_1! \cdots a_{2s-1}! \cdot a_{2s}!}{(a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{2s} + 2s - 1)!} & \text{if } a_i = 0, i = u, \\ (-1)^u \frac{a_0! \cdot a_1! \cdots a_{2s-1}! \cdot a_{2s}!}{(a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{2s} + 2s - 1)!} & \text{if } a_i = 0, i = v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{2s} (-1)^i \int_{(x_i=0) \cap \Delta^{2s}} \omega \\ &= \begin{cases} ((-1)^{u+v+1} + (-1)^{u+v}) \frac{a_0! \cdot a_1! \cdots a_{2s-1}! \cdot a_{2s}!}{(a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{2s} + 2s - 1)!} & \text{if } a_u = 0, a_v = 0, \\ (-1)^{u+v+1} \frac{a_0! \cdot a_1! \cdots a_{2s-1}! \cdot a_{2s}!}{(a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{2s} + 2s - 1)!} & \text{if } a_u = 0, a_v > 0 \\ (-1)^{u+v} \frac{a_0! \cdot a_1! \cdots a_{2s-1}! \cdot a_{2s}!}{(a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{2s} + 2s - 1)!} & \text{if } a_v = 0, a_u > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } a_v > 0, a_u > 0. \end{cases} \\ &= \int_{\Delta^{2s}} d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

7. APPENDIX: EXPLICIT FORMULAE FOR THE TRANSFER

Suppose that H is a subgroup of G of finite index, $[G : H] = m$ and let $\{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a set of right coset representatives for $H \backslash G$. Let B_*G denote the bar resolution. Hence B_nG is the free abelian group on G^{n+1} for $n \geq 0$ with differential $d : B_nG \longrightarrow B_{n-1}G$ given by

$$d(g_0, \dots, g_n) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j (g_0, \dots, \hat{g}_j, \dots, g_n)$$

and left G -module structure given by $g(g_0, \dots, g_n) = (gg_0, \dots, gg_n)$. Hence $H_*(G; \mathbb{Z})$ is given by the homology of the chain complex

$$\dots \xrightarrow{1 \otimes d} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} B_nG \xrightarrow{1 \otimes d} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} B_{n-1}G \xrightarrow{1 \otimes d} \dots$$

There is an anti-homomorphism to the symmetric group

$$\pi : G \longrightarrow \Sigma_m$$

given by the right action of G on $H \backslash G$

$$x_i g = h(i, g) x_{\pi(g)(i)}$$

for a unique $h(i, g) \in H$. We have

$$x_i g g' = h(i, g) x_{\pi(g)(i)} g' = h(i, g) h(\pi(g)(i), g') x_{\pi(g')(\pi(g)(i))}$$

so that $\pi(g g') = \pi(g') \cdot \pi(g) \in \Sigma_m$ and

$$h(i, g)^{-1} h(i, g g') = h(\pi(g)(i), g') \in H.$$

Since $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} B_n G$ is the free abelian group on $\{1 \otimes_G (1, g_1, \dots, g_n) \mid g_i \in G\}$ we may define homomorphisms

$$T_n : \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} B_n G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[H]} B_n H$$

for $n \geq 0$ by the formula

$$T_n(1 \otimes_G (1, g_1, \dots, g_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^m 1 \otimes_H (1, h(i, g_1), \dots, h(i, g_n)).$$

Observe that $h(i, 1) = 1$.

Proposition 7.1.

For $n \geq 1$

$$(1 \otimes d) T_n = T_{n-1} (1 \otimes d) : \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} B_n G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[H]} B_{n-1} H.$$

Proof

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 \otimes d) T_n(1 \otimes_G (1, g_1, \dots, g_n)) \\ &= (1 \otimes d) (\sum_{i=1}^m 1 \otimes_H (1, h(i, g_1), \dots, h(i, g_n))) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m 1 \otimes_H (1, h(i, g_1)^{-1} h(i, g_2), \dots, h(i, g_1)^{-1} h(i, g_n)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j 1 \otimes_H (1, h(i, g_1), \dots, h(\hat{i}, g_j) \dots, h(i, g_n)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m 1 \otimes_H (1, h(\pi(g_1)(i), g_1^{-1} g_2), \dots, h(\pi(g_1)(i), g_1^{-1} g_n)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j 1 \otimes_H (1, h(i, g_1), \dots, h(\hat{i}, g_j) \dots, h(i, g_n)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m 1 \otimes_H (1, h(i, g_1^{-1} g_2), \dots, h(i, g_1^{-1} g_n)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j 1 \otimes_H (1, h(i, g_1), \dots, h(\hat{i}, g_j) \dots, h(i, g_n)) \\ &= T_{n-1}(1 \otimes d)(1 \otimes_G (1, g_1, \dots, g_n)) \end{aligned}$$

as required. $\square$

Definition 7.2. The induced homomorphism on homology for $n \geq 0$

$$Tr = (T_n)_* : H_n(G; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_n(H; \mathbb{Z})$$

is called the transfer or corestriction (see [35] p.12).

This is seen as follows. Define a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module chain map

$$\tilde{T} : B_*G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[H]} B_*G$$

by the formula $\tilde{T}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[H]} x_i z$. This chain map, by definition ([35] p.12), induces the transfer

$$\tilde{T}_* : H_*(G; \mathbb{Z}) = H_*(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} B_*G) \longrightarrow$$

$$H_*(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} \mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[H]} B_*G) \cong H_*(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[H]} B_*G) \cong H_*(H; \mathbb{Z}).$$

In this composition the final isomorphism is the inverse to that given by the $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ -module chain map $B_*H \longrightarrow B_*G$ induced by the inclusion of H into G . However, there is a left inverse to this, namely the left $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ -module chain map

$$s : B_*G \longrightarrow B_*H$$

given by $s(h_0 x_{i_0}, h_1 x_{i_1}, \dots, h_n x_{i_n}) = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n)$ for $h_j \in H$. Therefore s_* induces on homology the final isomorphism in the composition

$$H_*(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[H]} B_*G) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[H]} B_*H) = H_*(H; \mathbb{Z}).$$

It is easy to verify that

$$T = s \cdot \tilde{T} : \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} B_*G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[H]} B_*H.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Barsky: Fonctions Zeta p -adiques d'une Classe de Rayon des Corps de Nombres Totalement-réels; Groupe d'étude d'analyse ultramétrique (1977-78).
- [2] A. Beilinson: Polylogarithms and cyclotomic elements; preprint (1990).
- [3] S. Bentzen and I. Madsen: Trace maps in algebraic K-theory and the Coates-Wiles homomorphism; J. reine angew. Math. 411 (1990) 171-195.
- [4] R. Bott and L.W. Tu: *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*; Grad. Texts in Math. #82 (1982) Springer Verlag.
- [5] J.I. Burgos Gil: *The Regulators of Beilinson and Borel*; Centre de Recherches Mathématiques Monograph Sér. #15, A.M. Soc (2002).
- [6] D. Burns and C. Greither: On the equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture for Tate motives; Inventiones Math. 153 (2003) 303-359.
- [7] P. Cassou-Noguès: Valeurs aux entiers négatif des fonctions zêta et fonctions zêta p -adiques; Inventiones Math. 51 (1979) 29-59.
- [8] Zacky Choo: Computing Borel's regulator; Ph.D. University of Sheffield (2008).
- [9] Z. Choo, W. Mannan, R. Garcia-Sanchez and V.P. Snaith: Computer calculations of the Borel regulator; University of Sheffield preprint (2007).
- [10] R.F. Coleman: Dilogarithms, regulators and p -adic L-functions; Inventiones Math. 69 (1982) 171-208.
- [11] W.G. Dwyer and E.M. Friedlander: Algebraic and étale K-theory; Trans. A.M.Soc. 292 (1) 247-280 (1985).

- [12] P. Deligne and K. Ribet: Values of abelian L-functions at negative integers over totally real fields; *Invent. Math.* 59 (1980) 227-286.
- [13] J-M. Fontaine and W. Messing: p -adic periods and p -adic étale cohomology; *Current Trends in Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry* (ed. K.A. Ribet) *Contemp. Math. A.M. Soc.* 67 (1987) 179-207.
- [14] M. Gros: Régulateurs syntomiques et valeurs de fonctions L p -adiques I (with an appendix by M Kurihara); *Inventiones Math.* 99 (1990) 293-320.
- [15] M. Gros: Régulateurs syntomiques et valeurs de fonctions L p -adiques II; *Inventiones Math.* 115 (1994) 61-79.
- [16] B.H. Gross: On the values of Artin L-functions; unpublished preprint (1981) (<http://abel.math.harvard.edu/gross/preprints/>).
- [17] B.H. Gross: Higher regulators and values of Artin L-functions; *Pure Appl. Math. Quarterly* 1 (2005) no.1, 1-13.
- [18] N. Hamida: Description explicite du régulateur de Borel; *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math.* 330 (2000) 169-172.
- [19] N. Hamida: Le régulateur p -adique; *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math.* 342 (2006) 807-812.
- [20] L. Hesselholt and I. Madsen: On the K-theory of finite algebras over Witt vectors of perfect fields; Aarhus preprint #2 (1995).
- [21] P.J. Hilton and U. Stammbach: *A Course in Homological Algebra*; *Grad. Texts in Math.* #4, Springer-Verlag (1971).
- [22] A. Huber and G. Kings: A p -adic analogue of the Borel regulator and the Bloch-Kato exponential map; preprint DFG-Forschergruppe Regensburg/Leipzig (2006).
- [23] A. Huber and J. Wildeshaus: Classical motivic polylogarithm according to Beilinson and Deligne; *Doc. Math.* 3 (1998) 27-133 (electronic).
- [24] M. Karoubi: Homologie cyclique et régulateurs en K-théorie algébrique; *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math.* 297 (1983) no.10, 557-560.
- [25] M. Karoubi: *Homologie cyclique et K-théorie*; *Astérisque* #149 (1987).
- [26] M. Kolster and Thong Nguyen Quang Do: Syntomic regulators and special values of p -adic L-functions; *Inventiones Math.* (2) 133 (1998) 417-447.
- [27] M. Lazard: Groupes analytiques p -adiques; *Pub. Math. I.H.E.S. Paris* 26 (1965) 389-603.
- [28] J-L. Loday and D.G. Quillen: Cyclic homology and the Lie algebra homology of matrices; *Comm. Math. Helv.* 59 (1984) 565-591.
- [29] J-L. Loday and D.G. Quillen: Homologie cyclique et homologie de l'algèbre de Lie des matrices; *C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris t.296* (1983) 295-297.
- [30] Yu.P. Nesterenko and A.A. Suslin: Homology of the general linear group over a local ring and Milnor's K-theory; (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.* 53 (1989), no. 1, 121-146; translation in *Math. USSR-Izv.* 34 (1990) no. 1, 121-145.
- [31] J. Neukirch: The Beilinson conjectures for algebraic number fields; *Perspectives in Math.* 4 *Beilinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions* (eds. R. Rapoport, P. Schneider and N. Schappacher) Academic Press (1988) 193-247.
- [32] C. Queen: The existence of p -adic L-functions; *Number Theory and Algebra*, Collected papers dedicated to Henry B. Mann (Academic Press, New York and London) 263-288 (1977).
- [33] D.G. Quillen: Higher Algebraic K-theory I: Battelle K-theory Conf. 1972; *Lecture Notes in Math.* #371 (1973) 85-147.
- [34] D.G. Quillen: On the cohomology and K-theory of the general linear groups over a finite field; *Annals of Math.* 96 (1972) 552-586.
- [35] V.P. Snaith: *Topological methods in Galois representation theory*; *Canadian Mathematical Society Monograph Series*, Wiley (1989).

- [36] V.P. Snaith: *Explicit Brauer Induction (with applications to algebra and number theory)*; Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. #40 (1994) Cambridge University Press.
- [37] V.P. Snaith: *Galois Module Structure*; Fields Institute Monographs # 2 A.M.Soc. (1994).
- [38] V.P. Snaith: Local fundamental classes derived from higher dimensional K-groups; Proc. Great Lakes K-theory Conf., Fields Institute Communications Series #16 (A.M.Soc. Publications) 285-324 (1997).
- [39] V.P. Snaith: Local fundamental classes derived from higher dimensional K-groups II; Proc. Great Lakes K-theory Conf., Fields Institute Communications Series #16 (A.M.Soc. Publications) 325-344 (1997).
- [40] V.P. Snaith: Equivariant motivic phenomena; NATO Sci. Series II #131, *Axiomatic, Enriched and Motivic Homotopy* (ed. J.P.C. Greenlees) Kluwer (2004) 335-383.
- [41] V.P. Snaith: Relative K_0 , annihilators, Fitting ideals and the Stickelberger phenomena; Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 90 (2005) 545-590.
- [42] V.P. Snaith: Stark's conjecture and new Stickelberger phenomena; Can. J. Math. 58 (2) 419-448 (2006).
- [43] C. Soulé: K-théorie des anneaux d'entiers de corps de nombres et cohomologie étale; Inventiones Math. 55 (1979) 251-295.
- [44] C. Soulé: On higher p -adic regulators; Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics #854 (1981) 372-401.
- [45] G. Tamme: Comparison of the Karoubi regulator and the p -adic Borel regulator; preprint #17 (25 July 2007) DFG-Forschergruppe Regensburg/Leipzig.
- [46] J.T. Tate: *Les Conjectures de Stark sur les Fonctions L d'Artin en $s = 0$* ; Progress in Mathematics # 47 (1984) Birkhäuser.
- [47] J.B. Wagoner: Continuous cohomology and p -adic K-theory; Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics #551 (1976) 241-248.